

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,151	11/24/2003		Roger A. Pyle	109788.0008	5551
37287	7590	12/03/2004		EXAMINER	
ROETZEL	& ANDR	RESS	RAEVIS, ROBERT R		
1375 EAST	9TH STRI	EET			
CLEVELAND, OH 44114				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2856	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/721,151	PYLE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	Robert R. Raevis	2856	pm
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days also apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 No	ovember 2004.	,	
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 and 15 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 17-22 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-14,16,23-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the t	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 11-14,16,24,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 11, are the "a first mold plate" (line 5) and "a second mold plate" (line 7) the "mold plates" (of line 2)? (Double Inclusion) Are the same plates being claimed twice?

As to claim 24, how is this claim directed to elected Figure 7A? Elected Figure 7A does not suggest ram in contact with one of the mold plates. Claim 24 appears to be directed to the embodiment of Figure 8, which illustrates a ram R in contact with a mold late MP1.

As to claim 25, how is this claim directed to elected Figure 7A? Elected Figure 7A does not suggest sensors onto two different mold plates. Claim 25 appears to be directed to the embodiment of Figure 8, which illustrates such sensors 60,80.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Paakkinen (EP 1 348 942 A2).

Paakkinen teaches (Figures 2,3) a system for measuring a gyration angle in a gyratory compactor which is instrumented (Figure 3) to sense positions of a mold plates 7,8 within a mold M, a loading device 1 positioned in the mold between the mold plates, the loading device having a body with protrusions 17,18 on opposite sides of the body, each protrusion in contact with one of the mold plates.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by TROXLER (Application Brief Measuring the Angle of Gyration on the Troxler Superpave Gyratory Compactor-SGC Using an Internal Angle Measuring Device).

Art Unit: 2856

Troxler teaches (p. 3, lowermost figure) a method of measuring internally gyration angle in a mold, including: positioning a device in a mold between two plates, the device having a body with planar ends which contact the plates; positioning a loading device (looks like a vertically oriented rod in the figure) in the mode in contact with the upper located mold plate; applying force to cause gyration; and obtaining measurements of angle from the device.

Measurements are made during gyration.

As to claim 11, note was made of the "mold plates which fit within" (italics added, lines 2-3), "device which fits within" (italics added, line 4), "contact" (lines 5, 7), "within" (last line) with remaining claim limitations.

As to claim 17, note was made of "a first protrusion" (line 5) in combination with remaining claim limitations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7am to 3:30pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RASULI